

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,128	09/08/2003	Shinichiro Koga	03500.011436.1	8649
5514 FITZPATRICK	7590 12/19/2006 C CELLA HARPER & SC	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFE	LLER PLAZA	VO, TUNG T		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,128	KOGA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tung Vo	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
	IVIC CET TO EVOIDE 40	MONTH (C) OD THIRTY (20) DAVO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-28 and 32-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is		objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	Application No. <u>08/651,348</u> .				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/08/2003	6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/656,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 25-27, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sawasaki et al. (US 5,838,365).

Re claims 25 and 35, Sawasaki discloses an image processing apparatus (45 of fig. 45) comprising: a) a signal inputter (501 of fig. 45), arranged to input an image signal of a frame;

- b) a detector (505of fig. 45), arranged to detect an image change by comparing the inputted image signal with a reference image signal (fig. 7); and
- c) a storage unit, arranged to update the reference image signal by storing the inputted image signal as the reference image signal on a frame basis when said detector detects an image change (col. 25, lines 34-37; S24 of fig. 46), and not to update the reference image signal when said detector detects that there is not an image change (S24, NO, of fig. 46).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,128

Art Unit: 2621

Re claim 26, Sawasaki further discloses further comprising an outputter, arranged to externally output the image signal in units of frames, in accordance with an output from said detector (507 of fig. 45)

Re claim 27, Sawasaki further discloses wherein said outputter outputs the image signal to an external unit via a communication path (115 of fig. 45).

Claims 32-34, Sawasaki further discloses wherein said detector (505 of fig. 45) forms a differential image signal by performing differential processing on the image signal, and detects a frame change based on the differential image signal wherein said image processing apparatus is applied to a video conference system (col. 1, lines 10-23), wherein said image processing apparatus is applied to a monitoring system (col. 1, lines 10-23).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawasaki et al. (US 5,838,365) in view of Okazaki et al. (US 6,606,636).

Re claim 28, Sawasaki teaches wherein said detector (505 of fig 45) calculates the difference (correlation) of the frame image (fig. 7) except a pixel value difference between each pair of corresponding pixels using the image signal and the reference image signal, and, if a sum

Art Unit: 2621

total of pixel value differences in an entire frame is larger than a predetermined threshold value, determines that a frame change has occurred as claimed.

However, Okazaki teaches a pixel value difference between each pair of corresponding pixels using the image signal and the reference image signal, and, if a sum total of pixel value differences in an entire frame is larger than a predetermined threshold value, determines that a frame change has occurred (figs. 3-5).

Therefore, taking the teachings of Sawasaki and Okazaki as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Okazaki in to the image processing apparatus of Sawasaki in order to accurately determine the change within the frame.

Doing so would improve the reliability of the change detecting process.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 29-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The cited prior art does not particularly disclose said detector calculates a pixel value difference between each pair of corresponding pixels using the image signal and the reference image signal, determines, if a corresponding pixel value difference is larger than a first threshold value, that a pixel change has occurred, and determines, if a number of pixels having undergone changes in an entire frame is larger than a second threshold value, that a flame change has occurred as specified in claim 29; said detector divides the image signal and the reference image signal into a plurality of blocks, calculates a sum total of pixel value differences between

Art Unit: 2621

corresponding pixels using the image signal and the reference image signal in units of blocks, determines, if the sum total is larger than a first threshold value, that a corresponding block has undergone a change, and determines, if a number of blocks having undergone changes in an entire frame is larger than a second threshold value, that a frame change has occurred as specified in claim 30; and said detector divides the image signal and the reference image signal into a plurality of blocks, calculates a pixel value difference between each pair of pixels corresponding to the image signal and the reference image signal, determines, if each pixel value difference is larger than a first threshold value and a corresponding pixel which has undergone changes in a block is larger than a second threshold value, that the block of the corresponding pixel has undergone a change, and determines, if a number of blocks having undergone changes in an entire frame is larger than a third threshold value, that a frame change has occurred as specified in claim 31.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Callahan et al. (US 5,664,029) discloses method of disregarding changes in data in a location of a data structure based upon changes in data in nearby locations.

Art Unit: 2621

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2621